

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,153	10/06/2003	Herfried J. Lammer	2418.0773-01	5749
22852	7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			NGUYEN, TAI V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20001-4413		3729	-

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/678,153	LAMMER, HERFI	LAMMER, HERFRIED J.		
		Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit		
		Tai Van Nguyen	3729			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	1	vith the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per uncerto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be adopted the mail of the m	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>8 July 2005</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🖂 T	his action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7,14-16 and 28-30 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) 14-16 and 28-30 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers			ĺ		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>10/117,15</u> n received in this Nationa			
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)		

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In regards to the merits of Vandergrift (US 5,775,715) in the previous Final Action filed 3/28/2005, the applicant(s)' arguments that Vandergrift does not teach the features of that the step reducing the piezoelectric material to particles have been found to be persuasive.

Accordingly, the previous Final Rejection has been withdrawn,

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vandergrift (US 5,775,715) in view of Cui et al. (US 5,951,908)

As applied to claims 1 and 5, Vandergrift discloses the member includes a ski apparatus with piezoelectric film (column 4, lines 1-8).

However, Vandergrift does not discloses a method of making a piezoelectric film comprising obtaining a piezoelectric material, reducing the piezoelectric material to particles and contacting the particles with a flexible matrix material and applying the matrix material to one or more surfaces of a member.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,153 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Cui et al teach a method of making a piezoelectric film comprising obtaining a piezoelectric material, reducing the piezoelectric material to particles by ball milling (see example 9) and contacting the particles with a flexible matrix material (see column 6, lines 35+) and applying the matrix material to one or more surfaces of a member (related device at column 1, lines 8-10).

As applied to claims 2 and 7, Vandergrift discloses the piezoelectric material comprises at least one piezoelectric material titanium oxide (column 7, lines 33-45).

As applied to claim 3, Vandergrift discloses further comprising contacting the particles with an organic binder, the binder comprising at least one organic material chosen from wax and nylon (column 8, lines 8-25).

As applied to claim 4, Vandergrift discloses further comprising: sintering the piezoelectric material to make a ceramic material (column 6, lines 65+).

As applied to claim 6, Vandergrift discloses the matrix material comprises at least one flexible material chosen from an epoxy resin (column 8, lines 18-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 14-16 and 28-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 14-16 and 28-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,153 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai Van Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4567. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 A.M - 4:30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN. July 28, 2005

N. DEXTER TUGBAN@ PRIMARY EXAMINER